In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, Chandigarh.

CWP No. 19122 of 2006

Date of Decision: 4.12.2006

Hans Raj

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others.

....Respondents.

Coram:- Hon'ble Mr.Justice J.S. Khehar.
Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.D. Anand.

Present: Mr. P.K. Goklaney, Advocate
for the petitioner.

...

J.S. Khehar, J. (Oral).

In the instant writ petition, the solitary prayer of the petitioner is, that the respondents should be directed to decide the effect of the absence/leave sought by him, one way or the other. On the issue in hand, the petitioner is stated to have issued a legal notice dated 2.9.2006 (Annexure P-6), in response to which, the petitioner has been favoured with a reply at the hands of the District Education Officer (Secondary Education), Kapurthala, wherein he has expressed, that the determination on the issue in hand, is to be at the hands of the District Education Officer (Elementary), Ferozepur. Learned counsel for the petitioner states, that the petitioner wants a decision of his case, and is not interested as to who is to decide the matter.

In the peculiar facts and circumstances of this case, as have been noticed in the foregoing paragraph, we consider it just and appropriate to direct a senior authority i.e. the Director Public Instructions (Secondary), Punjab, to take a final decision on the legal notice dated 2.9.2006 (Annexure P-6), by calling the records from the relevant quarters, by passing a well reasoned speaking order, within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case, the petitioner is found entitled to any monetary benefits, the same shall be calculated and released to him within a further period of one month.

Disposed of accordingly.

Order dasti on payment of usual charges.

(J.S. Khehar)
Judge.

(S.D. Anand)
Judge.

4.12.2006
sk.